

Quantum Moderator Cheat Sheet: Preparation

Duration (1h 45m)	Subject	Setting the scene	Warming up	Checklist To bring
5 min	Introduction			
10 min	Reading time			
10 min	Warming up			
20 min	Session 1 "CTA"			
15 min	Reflection 1			
15 min	Break and Prep pressure cooker			
15 min	Session 2 "Pressure Cooker"			
15 min	Reflection 2, Theory, & Reflection assignment			

Introduction

- Method origin (TTA)
- Outline of today
- Goals of the session
- The technology
- Aim of stakeholder meeting
- Introduce roles and impersonate briefly
- Distribute roles

Goal of Meeting:
Decide on the goal and prerequisites put to investment in Quantum

Stakeholders:
QDNL | Professor | IF4A | Secret Service (NBV) | Horizon | Ministry

Tips

- Be enthusiastic
- Provide implicit assurance by acting
- Play your own role
- Fun and laughing allowed!

Checklist To bring

- Moderator Cheat Sheet **1x**
- Technology Description **X** number of attendees
- Role Descriptions **5 or 10** (double roles)
- Observer Instructions **X** number of non-players
- Sturdy sheets of paper **6 or 11** (double roles)
- Markers for writing the character names
- Watch to keep time

Quantum Moderator Cheat Sheet: The Play

 Tensions In discussion	Kick off Session 1 Bring up in introduction	Pressure cooker design	Duration (1h 45m)	Subject
<p>Research approach, Open source, engaging non- or international professionals, vs. confidential national research</p> <p>Research focus, Encryption vs. fundamental research (time-pressure)</p> <p>Coordinating actor, The stakeholder who will lead the research efforts</p> <p>(Future) Use, Desirable use(rs) of quantum technologies</p> <p>Technological optimism, Whether it is likely for quantum technologies to deliver on its promise</p>	<p>The setting: Ministry of Education - The Hague</p> <p>Reason for meeting: Recent breakthroughs in quantum technologies and increased international pressure</p> <p>Goal of the meeting: Decide on the goal and prerequisites put to investment in Quantum</p> <p>Initial risks: How to respond to international pressure Safety of internal communications Paradox of security, stolen now, decrypted later What group has access and partakes in quantum research</p>	<p>Start with the outcome of the first session</p> <p>Imagine a situation 5 to 10 years in the future</p> <p>Base the scenario on</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Desired changes in stakeholder dynamics Tensions that have remained unaddressed <p>Make it urgent</p> <p>Examples:</p> <p>Decisiveness lacks, Other countries successfully develop quantum computers, nationwide communication is compromised</p> <p>Encryption efforts insufficient against actual quantum computer, sensitive data leaks</p>	<p>5 min</p> <p>10 min</p> <p>10 min</p> <p>20 min</p> <p>15 min</p> <p>15 min</p> <p>15 min</p> <p>15 min</p>	<p>Introduction</p> <p>Reading time</p> <p>Warming up</p> <p>Session 1 "CTA"</p> <p>Reflection 1</p> <p>Break and Prep pressure cooker</p> <p>Session 2 "Pressure Cooker"</p> <p>Reflection 2, Theory, & Reflection assignment</p>